

disclosed by Price limits the mobility of a wearer, rendering unsuited for use in many situations. The teachings of Colitz and Mechin are deficient, with respect to the embodiments disclosed and claimed by the Applicant.

The applicant notes the rejection of claims 2 and under 35 U.S.C. § 112 paragraph 2. At this time, the applicant has elected not to amend the claims, but instead requests that the examiner reconsider the rejection in view of the disclosure appearing in the specification at page 2 line 24 to page 3 line 10.

Wherefore, based upon the foregoing it is submitted that the application is in condition of allowance and a relatively early reply to this paper would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard J. Danyko  
Registration No. 33,672

DREIER & BARITZ LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant  
499 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 328-6100  
(212) 328-6114 (fax)

**Claim Amendments**

1. (amended) An untethered [In] combination [,] of a pair of eyeglasses and a light source, comprised of:

eyeglasses having a front frame portion and arms extending therefrom;

a light source positioned on the arms.

11. (amended) In combination, a pair of eyeglasses and a discrete writing instrument, comprised of: eyeglasses having a front frame portion and arms extending therefrom; a discrete writing instrument stored on one of the arms.

20. (amended) In combination, a pair of eyeglasses and a discrete screw driver, comprised of: eyeglasses having a front frame portion and arms extending therefrom;

a discrete screw driver stored on one of the arms.